

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVI	ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET	NO.
09/349,194	07/07/99	BUECHLER		К	244/121	
			乛	EXAMINER		
023620 FOLEY & LARDNER		HM12/1102		GAREL.	. G	
402 WEST BF				ART UNIT	PAPER NUM	IBER
23RD FLOOR SAN DIEGO CA	CA 92101			1641 DATE MAILED:		17
					11/02/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/349,194	BUECHLER ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gailene R. Gabel	1641
The MAILING DATE of this comm	nunication appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2001 F Therefore, further action by the applicant i final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may or condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed N Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	is required to avoid abandonment of t nly be either: (1) a timely filed amend Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or	his application. A proper reply to a ment which places the application in
<u>PE</u> :	RIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) o	r b)]
a) \square The period for reply expires 6 months from	the mailing date of the final rejection.	
event, however, will the statutory period for i	ing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date se reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the ma RST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR have been filed is the date for purposes of determining 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration da (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the period of extension and the corresponding an ate of the shortened statutory period for reply origi- later than three months after the mailing date of t	inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24.5</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension t	<u>September 2001</u> . Appellant's Brief musthereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid di	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will no	ot be entered because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would	d require further consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new mat	ter (see Note below);	·
(c) they are not deemed to place the issues for appeal; and/or	ne application in better form for appea	al by materially reducing or simplifying th
(d) they present additional claims	without canceling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the t	following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s canceling the non-allowable claim(s		ed in a separate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or capplication in condition for allowance		een considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be or raised by the Examiner in the final r		SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the propose explanation of how the new or amer	ed amendment(s) a) $igtiz$ will not be entonded claims would be rejected is prov	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will the	be) as follows:	Christyle L. Chi
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.		Christyle X. Chr.
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.		CHRISTOPHER L. CHIN
Claim(s) rejected: <u>85-96,102-106,114</u>		PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 18 00 -7647
Claim(s) withdrawn from considerat		
8. The proposed drawing correction file	ed on is a)□ approved or b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclo	osure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)
10. Other:		Anilone R. Dr.
6, Patent and Trademark Office FO-303 (Rev. 04-01)	Advisory Action	Part of Paper No. 17

Application N .

C ntinuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/349,194



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendment incorporates a new limitation into the claims, i.e. antibody specifically binds cardiac specific troponin in a ternary complex; thus requiring further consideration and search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: further consideration is required in view of the prior art of record and further search is required so as to encompass the new issue set forth by Applicant.